



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/699,371	10/31/00	Hajame et al	06753.0386

EXAMINER	
D. Hernandez	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3661	6

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Dga Hernandez (3) Robert F. Rotella
(2) William Cuchlinski (4) Vamsi K. Kakarla

Date of Interview: _____

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11

Identification of prior art discussed: Dominke et al (6,154,688)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claims 1 and 11

are attached hereto potentially distinguish over Dominke et al
(6,154,688) the election of species requirements will be reconsidered

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

[Handwritten signatures]

APPENDIX TO AMENDMENT OF May 14, 2002

Version with Markings to Show Changes Made

Amendments to the Claims

Claim 1 (Amended) A vehicle information processing method for [use upon] processing [of] diversified pieces of information, including a message arriving at and/or generated in a vehicle, comprising:

integrating said diversified pieces of information;

[and] providing each of the integrated pieces of information with a priority order indicating an importance of each piece of information; and

when one or [two or] more pieces of information arrive at and/or are generated in said vehicle, allocating [an appropriate resource] one or more appropriate resources selected from [the] a plurality of diversified resources [for using the generated information to the generated information] to the one or more arriving and/or generated pieces of information according to the priority order given to the [generated] integrated pieces of information.

Claim 11 (Amended) A vehicle information processing apparatus [having a function] for processing diversified pieces of information, including a message arriving at and/or generated in a vehicle, comprising:

a priority order control means for integrating said diversified pieces of information and providing each of the integrated pieces of information with a priority order indicating an importance of each piece of information [so as to control the priority orders]; and

a resource allocation control means for, when one [or two] or more pieces of information arrive at and/or are generated in said vehicle, allocating [an appropriate resource] one or more appropriate resources selected from [the] a plurality of diversified resources [for using the generated information to the generated information] to the one or more arriving and/or generated pieces of information according to the priority order given to the [generated] integrated pieces of information.